

**Corrections to**  
**B. E. Cain, Inertia Theory, *Linear Algebra and Appl.***  
**30:211–240 (1980)**

B. E. Cain  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Technion—Israel Institute of Technology*  
*Haifa, Israel*

---

*Proof.* The result is trivial if  $N=0$ , so we assume  $N \neq 0$ . Since the hypotheses and conclusions are invariant under unitary similarity, we may assume without loss that  $N$  is diagonal:

$$N = D \oplus 0_m,$$

where  $D$  is the nonsingular diagonal matrix:

$$D = e^{i\theta_1} D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus e^{i\theta_k} D_k,$$

where each  $D_i$  is an  $n_i \times n_i$  real nonsingular diagonal matrix and the  $\theta_i$ 's are distinct numbers satisfying  $0 \leq \theta_i < \pi$ . Let  $S = PU$ , with  $P \gg 0$  and  $U$  unitary, be the polar decomposition of  $S$ . Let  $Q$  be the leading  $(n_1 + \dots + n_k) \times (n_1 + \dots + n_k)$  principal minor of  $P^2$ .

Since we assumed that  $S^*NS$  commutes with its adjoint,

$$NP^2N^* = N^*P^2N,$$

from which it follows that

$$D^*QD = DQD^*.$$

Thus  $Q$  commutes with  $D^*D^{-1} = D^{-1}D^*$ . Since

$$D^{-1}D^* = e^{-i2\theta_1} I_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus e^{-i2\theta_k} I_{n_k},$$

and since the numbers  $e^{-i2\theta_i}$  are distinct, it follows that

$$Q = Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_k,$$

where each  $Q_i$  is  $n_i \times n_i$  and positive definite.

By Theorem 3.1,  $\text{In}(Q_i D_i) = \text{In}(D_i)$ . Since  $Q_i D_i$  and  $D_i$  have real spectrum ( $Q_i D_i$  is similar to the Hermitian matrix  $\sqrt{Q_i} D_i \sqrt{Q_i}$ ), rotating by  $e^{i\theta_i}$  gives

$$\theta[Q_i(e^{i\theta_i} D_i)] = \theta[e^{i\theta_i} D_i].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta[S^*NS] &= \theta[SS^*N] = \theta[P^2N] = \theta[QD \oplus 0_m] \\ &= \theta[Q_1(e^{i\theta_1} D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus Q_k(e^{i\theta_k} D_k) \oplus 0_m] \\ &= \theta[(e^{i\theta_1} D_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (e^{i\theta_k} D_k) \oplus 0_m] = \theta[N]. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

This proof characterizes the nonsingular  $S$  for which  $S^*NS$  is normal.

*We thank R. K. Meany for drawing attention to errors in the published proof.*

*Received 21 January 1981; revised 1 April 1981*